	4								
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California								
2	GAIL M. HEPPELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General								
3	JENNEVEE H. DE GUZMAN, State Bar No. 19781  Deputy Attorney General	7							
4	California Department of Justice								
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255								
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 327-1145								
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247								
8	Attorneys for Complainant								
9	BEFORE 1	гне							
10	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11									
12									
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 02-2005-163752							
14	GAIL PEARSON, M.D. P.O. Box 3039	DEFAULT DECISION							
15	Quincy, California 95971	AND ORDER							
16	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 84398	[Gov. Code, §11520]							
17	Respondent.								
18									
19	FINDINGS OF FACT								
20	1. On or about September 8, 2006, Complainant David T. Thornton, in his								
21	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of								
22	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 02-2005-163752 against Gail Pearson, M.D.								
23	(Respondent) before the Division of Medical Quality.								
24	2. On or about March 6, 1998, the Medical Board of California issued								
25	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G84398 to Respondent. The Physician and Surgeon's								
26	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will								
27	expire on September 30, 2007, unless renewed.								
28	3. On or about September 8, 200	6, Brenda Allen, an employee of the							
}									

2.7

Complainant Agency, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 02-2005-163752, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Medical Board of California, which was and is P.O. Box 3039, Quincy, California 95971. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit "A," and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. Postal returned documents were never received by the Complainant Agency.
- 6. On or about November 28, 2006, Brenda Allen re-served by Certified and First Class Mail the above-referenced documents to Respondent at an alternate address provided directly from Respondent, which was 12 Gansner Creek Court, Quincy, California. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit "B," and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. On or about December 21, 2006, the Accusation and related documents were returned to the Complainant Agency stamped "unclaimed." A copy of the envelope containing the Accusation and related documents served on November 28, 2006, is attached as Exhibit "C," and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 8. The factual basis for the Accusation No. 02-2005-163752 is set forth in the Declarations of Thomas Campbell, Michel Veverka, Fayne Boyd, and James Nuovo, M.D. which are incorporated herein by reference.<sup>1</sup>
  - 9. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the

<sup>1.</sup> The original declarations are located at the Sacramento Office of Administrative Hearings Case No. 2006080265, *In the Matter of the Interim Suspension Order Against Gail Pearson, M.D.* 

accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

- 10. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 02-2005-163752.
  - 11. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 12. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Medical Board of California finds Respondent is in default. The Medical Board of California will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in exhibits A, B, and C finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 02-2005-163752 are true.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Gail Pearson, M.D. has subjected her Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G84398 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.
  - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Division of Medical Quality is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
  - a. Practice of medicine while under the influence of narcotic drug and alcohol (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2280);
    - b. Dangerous use of alcohol (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2239);
    - c. Use of a Controlled Substance (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2239).

l	<u>ORDER</u>
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G84398,
3	heretofore issued to Respondent Gail Pearson, M.D., is revoked.
1	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may
5	serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on
6	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion
7	may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the
3	statute.

This Decision shall become	effective	on	July	27,	2007		
	1	. —					Ī

It is so ORDERED June 27

FOR THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALIT MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Pearson Default.wpd
DOJ docket number:SA2006302503

Attachments:

Exhibit A: Accusation No.02-3005-163752, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service

Dated September 8, 2006

Exhibit B: Accusation No.02-3005-163752, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service

Dated November 28, 2006

Exhibit C: Envelope containing Accusation No.02-2005-163752, Related Documents, and

Declaration of Service Dated November 28, 2006

2526

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

SACRAMENTO Sept. 8, 2006

BY BE OF OF OF OF OF A ANALYST

Case No. 02-2005-163752

ACCUSATION

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
GAIL M. HEPPELL,
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
JENNEVEE H. DE GUZMAN, S.B. No. 197817
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 327-4511
Facsimile: (916) 327-2247

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

GAIL LEE PEARSON, M.D. P.O. Box 3039

15 Quincy, California 95971

Physician and Surgeon's Certificate number G 84398.

Complainant alleges:

#### PARTIES

Respondent.

- 1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California.
- 2. On or about March 6, 1998, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon's certificate number G 84398 to Gail Pearson, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate will expire on September 30, 2007, unless renewed. On August 10, 2006, an interim suspension order was issued pursuant to Government Code section 11529, suspending the license.

# 

### 

# 

### 

# 

## 

### 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

# 

## 

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California under the authority of the following laws:
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - 5. Subdivision (a) of section 2239 provides in pertinent part as follows:

"The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substances; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely . . . constitutes unprofessional conduct."

6. Section 2280 provides as follows:

"No licensee shall practice medicine while under the influence of any narcotic drug or alcohol to such an extent as to impair his or her ability to conduct the practice of medicine with safety to the public and his or her patients.

Violation of this section constitutes unprofessional conduct and is a misdemeanor."

7. Section 2234, subdivision (a) of the Code provides in pertinent part as follows:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other providions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

<sup>1.</sup> All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]."

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Practice of Medicine While Under the Influence of Narcotic Drug and Alcohol)
[Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2280]

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2280 of the Code in that, on June 15, 2006, she practiced medicine while under the influence of alcohol and marijuana to such an extent as to impair her ability to conduct the practice of medicine with safety to the public and her patients. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. On June 15, 2006, at approximately 10:30 a.m., Medical Board Senior Investigator Tom Campbell (Sr. Inv. Campbell) and Investigator Assistant Ginger Gerard (IA Gerard) visited Respondent at her place of employment, Greenville Medical Clinic, for an interview for an unrelated matter. Respondent began working at Greenville Medical Clinic in February of 2006, and was one of two physicians employed by Greenville Medical Clinic. Respondent had a general practice and saw approximately 15 patients per day four days per week.
- 10. Upon their arrival, Respondent was actively seeing patients, and Sr. Inv. Campbell and IA Gerard were escorted into a physician's office to wait. At 11:00 a.m., Respondent entered the office and was interviewed by Sr. Inv. Campbell for approximately one hour. Respondent appeared sickly and extremely thin.
- 11. At the conclusion of the interview, Respondent was advised of the Medical Board's Diversion Program and was asked to provide a urine specimen. She agreed. The urine specimen was collected at 12:02 p.m., and the interview was concluded. Respondent collected a few medical supplies and called her next patient.
- 12. The urine specimen was subsequently submitted to MedTox Laboratories, Inc. (MedTox) for an alcohol screening. The screening was positive for ethyl alcohol.
- 13. On or about June 21, 2006, Sr. Inv. Campbell contacted Respondent and advised her of the alcohol screening results. Respondent expressed neither surprise nor concern.

6

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 ///

She stated that she had considered the information regarding Diversion, indicated that she had a problem with alcohol, and agreed to sign a Statement of Understanding (SOU) regarding her request for entry into Diversion.

- 14. Respondent signed the SOU on June 26, 2006.
- 15. On July 3, 2006, Respondent contacted the Medical Board of California. Diversion Program (Diversion) for an initial telephonic intake interview. In the days that followed, other Diversion personnel attempted to contact Respondent. Respondent was difficult to reach, and she advised Diversion that the best time to reach her was at home during the evening.
- 16. On July 12, 2006, at approximately 7:00 p.m., Fayne Boyd, Supervising Case Manager, Medical Board of California, Diversion Program, called Respondent at her home. Respondent was advised of the Diversion requirements, as well as her responsibilities while in Diversion. This included attendance at weekly meetings, 12-Step meetings, and drug testing. Respondent stated that attendance of the group meetings would be an inconvenience because she frequently traveled to Reno for car repairs and personal medical treatments. Respondent explained that she had multiple sclerosis, though she had not been formally diagnosed by a physician. Respondent also complained that her participation in Diversion would not be confidential and that she was being blackmailed. Respondent added that she left her previous job because there was "no confidentiality". Respondent was given until July 14, 2006, to decide whether she would participate in Diversion.
- 17. On July 14, 2006, Respondent contacted Diversion and stated that she wished to surrender her license. She also wanted to end her practice because of the pressure and blackmail. Respondent, however, continued to practice medicine through August 10, 2006.
- On August 4, 2006, the Medical Board of California requested MedTox Laboratories Inc. to screen the urine specimen provided by Respondent on June 15, 2005, for drugs. The screening was positive for marijuana.
  - 19. Marijuana is a narcotic classified as a Schedule I controlled substance.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate number G 84398 issued to Gail Pearson, M.D.;
- 2. Ordering Gail Pearson, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring, if placed on probation; and
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: September 8, 2006

DÁVÍD T. THORNTÓN

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant